



A Java Implementation of a Kaypro II Microcomputer System

A CSI-426 Senior Project

Dr. Charles Howerton, Instructor

Presented By:

Joe Diethorn

Shannon Steinmetz

Internet address: www.yoy.org/kaypro, Email: kaypro@yoy.org



Team Members

- **Team members**
 - ◆ **Brandon Buist**
 - ◆ **Joe Diethorn**
 - ◆ **Jim Gilmer**
 - ◆ **Shannon Steinmetz**
 - ◆ **Hung To**
- **Examined strengths of each member**
- **Tasks assigned according strengths**
- **All team members participated in design**

Project Description

The Assignment

- **Kaypro II emulator**
 - ◆ **Debugger**
 - ◆ **Printer emulation**
 - ◆ **Floppy drive emulation**
 - ◆ **Utilize CP/M operating system**
 - ◆ **Runs actual programs under emulated OS**
- **Implemented as a Java applet (needed to learn)**
- **Must implement good engineering processes**
- **Object oriented design and implementation**

Requirements Gathering

- **Internet**
 - ◆ **News groups**
 - ◆ **Contacts**
 - ◆ **Schematics**
 - ◆ **Data books**
- **Obtained actual system**
 - ◆ **Kaypro manuals**
 - ◆ **ROM images**
 - ◆ **Floppy images**
 - ◆ **Benefit of using actual system**

Requirements Gathering

Hardware Emulation Description

- **What is hardware emulation?**
 - ◆ **Simulate hardware components**
 - ★ CPU
 - ★ Floppy controller chip
 - ★ Memory mapping
 - ★ I/O chips
 - ★ Video circuitry
 - ◆ **Benefits**
 - ★ Would run actual software
 - ★ Greater compatibility

Requirements Gathering

Hardware Emulation Challenges

- **Needed to extract system ROM's**
 - ◆ EPROM reader
 - ◆ Converted into static program tables
 - ◆ Emulation booted with actual boot ROM code
- **Needed to extract floppy images**
 - ◆ Obtained software that extracted raw disk images
 - ◆ Converted into static tables
 - ◆ Emulation reads and writes actual Kaypro disk data



Requirements Gathering

Hardware Emulation Challenges Cont...

- **Needed to decipher schematics**
 - ◆ Used data books to define hardware interfaces
 - ◆ Used schematics to define system architecture
- **Debugging emulation code**
 - ◆ Needed to create system debugger

Documentation

- **User manual**
 - ◆ User instructions
 - ◆ Written before implementation
- **Requirements definition**
 - ◆ Contract with customer (instructor)
 - ◆ High level definition
- **Requirements specification**
 - ◆ Contract with programmer
 - ◆ Detailed definition
- **Design**
 - ◆ Previous documents describe what is needed
 - ◆ Design describes how to implement

Documentation Cont...

- **Test plan**
 - ◆ What will be tested and how
- **Accumulated information and data**
 - ◆ ROM images
 - ◆ CP/M disassembly
 - ◆ Kaypro technical manual
- **Beta test results**
 - ◆ System disclosed to internet community
 - ◆ Since it was an Java applet, internet users could use
 - ◆ Comments were gathered



Documentation Results

- Problems and inconsistencies were “squeezed” out
- Team was united
- Many “heads” concentrated on complex issues
- Problems were found ahead of implementation
- Coordination between team members
- Incremental integration
- Shortened implementation cycle



Implementation

■ Advantages

- ◆ Up-front documentation made implementation easy
- ◆ Integration was straight forward
- ◆ System “fell together”
- ◆ System worked within one hour of integration

■ Unit testing

- ◆ Each member tested their own code before it was implemented
- ◆ Documentation made it easy to unit test code
- ◆ Good unit testing resulted in successful integration and bug-free operation



Testing

- Executed test plan
- Ran actual programs
- Found actual Kaypro II bugs
 - ◆ Floppy drive selection bug

Conclusion

- **Benefits of properly engineered software**
 - ◆ **Properly engineered product yields seamless integration and compatibility and functionality**
- **Real world project**
 - ◆ **Far too many schools teach software engineering without exposing the student to a “real” project**
 - ◆ **The focus is often on implementation – not on the process**

Conclusion Cont...

- **The power is in the process**
 - ◆ **Schools need to understand it. Companies need to understand it. The power is in the process. A well-engineered product will last longer, exhibit fewer bugs, come together more smoothly, and result in an empowered, energized design and implementation team**
- **Our team**
 - ◆ **The result is total buy-in and total team knowledge**
 - ◆ **Team was excited and empowered**
 - ◆ **The software reflects the team that designed and implemented it**